

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 ℓ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------------|--------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/705,443 11/10/2003 | | 11/10/2003 | John T. Waidner | DP-307920 | 4601 | |
| 22851 | 7590 | 06/01/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| DELPHI T | ECHNOI | LOGIES, INC. | ELLINGTON, ALANDRA | | | |
| M/C 480-410 PO BOX 503 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| TROY, MI 48007 | | | | 2855 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | · | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/705,443 | | WAIDNER ET AL. | | | | | | |
| Office Act | ion Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Alandra Ellir | gton | 2855 | | | | | |
| The MAILING Deriod for Reply | OATE of this communication ap | opears on the c | over sheet with the c | orrespondence add | lress | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the second | OF THIS COMMUNICATION vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 the mailing date of this communication. ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a recified above, the maximum statutory period to rextended period for reply will, by statustice later than three months after the mailingent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | .136(a). In no event ply within the statuto d will apply and will e tte, cause the applica | however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI | ely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this col 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to o | communication(s) filed on <u>am</u> e | endment dated | <u>1 3/7/05</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FI | NAL. 2b) ☐ Th | is action is nor | ı-final. | | | | | | |
| , | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/s 7) ☐ Claim(s) | ✓ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) f Applicant may no Replacement dra | n is objected to by the Examinited on 10 November 2003 is at request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Examinited in the correction is objected to by the Examinited in the Exa | /are: a)⊠ acc e drawing(s) be ection is required | held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF | R 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cite | | 4 |) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| | Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 11/05 | -, | Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other: | | -152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 2855

Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaither (6,175,980) in view of McLaughlin (5,979,078).
 - a. With respect to Claim 1, Gaither discloses a bladder 42 made with an elastomeric material having a thickness in the range of ¼ inch to 1 inch (col. 3 lines 30-52 {Figs. 3, 4}). However, Gaither's bladder 42 is potentially made of first and second sheets but it is not elaborated on. Moreover, using a cushion comprising a bladder with two sheets is known. For example, McLaughlin teaches a bladder with first and second sheets 40,45 of elastomeric material that are peripherally joined to form a closed volume that is filled with fluid (col. 3 lines 40-42, 59-66, col. 4 lines 17-35, 42-55, 64-67 {Fig. 3}). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gaither with the teachings of McLaughlin to include a bladder with first and second sheets of elastomeric material for the purpose of forming a cushioning device with an impact response that takes into consideration both adequate shock absorption and sufficient resiliency (see McLaughlin, col. 1 lines 38-41).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,443

Art Unit: 2855

b. With respect to Claims 2 and 5, Gaither discloses a similar range (col. 3 lines 30-52 {Figs. 3, 4}).

Page 3

- c. With respect to Claims 3 and 4, McLaughlin teaches that any of the sheets can be known as the upper or lower sheet (col. 3 lines 40-42, 59-66, col. 4 lines 17-35, 42-55, 54-67 (Fig. 3)).
- d. With respect to Claim 6, Gaither discloses a thickness in a similar range (col. 3 lines 30-52 {Figs. 3, 4}). McLaughlin teaches an annular sheet 50,55 of elastomeric material peripherally joining the first and second sheets 40,45 of elastomeric material to form a closed volume, the annular sheet being seam welded to peripheral portions of the first and second sheets 40,45 (col. 4 lines 42-55 {Fig. 3}).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 5. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee

Art Unit: 2855

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alandra Ellington whose telephone number is (571) 272-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 7:30am 4:00pm.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alandra Ellington Art Unit 2855

ane

MAX NOORI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4